

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,123	07/28/2003	Rodney L. Blair	BLAIR 3082		
75	90 08/25/2006		EXAMINER		
ROBERT M. SPERRY, ESQ. 23390 OSTRONIC DRIVE			WINNER, TONY H		
	HILLS, CA 91367		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3611	3611	
		DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/628,123	BLAIR, RODNEY L.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tony H. Winner	3611
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/21/ This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	•
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	• •		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/628,123 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

Acknowledgment

1. Receipt of an amendment and Affidavit filed 3/21/06 has been acknowledged and entered. The office is withdrawing all objection and claims rejections in view of the Affidavit claiming priority date to a Provisional Application Ser. 60/307,474 dated July 25, 2001. The Provisional Application has a filling date that is prior to the filing date of Hume patent which was used in the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation of claim 1, "large inflatable tubes" is unclear. Since the word 'large' is a relative term, the structure must clearly be defined in relation to another structure (e.g. larger than what other inflatable tubes..). The office suggests the word "large" be deleted to overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hertelendy (USPN. 2,909,145).

Hertelendy discloses all of the structure as claimed, the vehicle comprising:

- a. a pair of circular tubes (28, 29) mounted in parallel, spaced relation and joined by a supporting structure (figure 1),
- b. said supporting structure serving to carry a load including a seating for at least one human and having its center of gravity supported below the axis of the tubes,
 - c. the supporting structure carries at least one motor for driving the tubes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9-10, and 14-15 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hertelendy in view of Berg (US-PUBNO. 2002/0011368 A1).

Hertelendy is disclosed above but silence with regard to a means to drive the tubes independently.

Berg discloses a self-propelled drive vehicle including a means to drive the wheels independently with separate motor so as to permit the vehicle making turns.

Based on the teaching of Berg, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle of Hertelendy to include a means to drive the wheels independently of Berg so as to provide the vehicle with means to making turns.

With regard to claims 2 and 15, Hertelendy as modified by Berg discloses all of the claimed limitations.

With respect to claim 3-6, Hertelendy as modified by Berg discloses the claimed invention but lacks the teaching of any specific spokes structure/design. However, applicant acknowledged that the spokes structure/design is an obvious alternative. Therefore, it would have been an obvious alternative/design choice to make the spokes of metal, wire, or inflatable tubes.

5. Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hertelendy as modified by Berg and further in view of Quigg.

Hertelendy as modified by Berg is disclosed above but lacks the teaching of a means for remotely controlling the vehicle.

Quigg discloses a stair-climbing wheelchair wherein the wheelchair includes a remote control so as to provide a wide range of usefulness for the wheelchair such as unmanned device used to traverse obstacles or in mine field cleaning operation. Based on the teaching of Quigg, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle of Hertelendy as modified by

Berg to include the remote controller of Quigg so as to provide a wide range of usefulness for the wheelchair such as unmanned device used to traverse obstacles or in mine field cleaning operation.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (571) 272-6654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is (571) 273-8300.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information-Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6584.

TONY WINNEH PATENT EXAMINER

August 21, 2006